

To Whom It May Concern

I am deeply concerned by Sinclair's partisan action to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This is an act clearly against the public interest, not because of its political orientation, but because of the principle that a corporation can force any kind of biased content under these circumstances, regardless of the public interest or FCC regulations.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, as long as they serve the public interest. But in this case they are deliberately using undue control of the airwaves to influence our democratic system. This is an outrageous act, and far more dangerous to our nation than Janet Jackson's bosom. Why is the FCC attacking "obscenity" and not supporting our democracy?

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them.

Thank you.